Idiopathic and neurogenic detrusor overactivity: do the different patterns have urodynamic characteristics related to gender or neurological condition?
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the urodynamic characteristics of the two patterns (phasic, P and terminal, T) of detrusor overactivity (DO) according to gender and neurological condition. Materials and Methods: Urodynamic characteristics of DO were analysed in a population with proven urodynamic DO (127 women and 76 men, respectively with 48 and 43 neurological diseases (encephalic, incomplete medullar lesion or peripheral)). Phasic DO is characterized by phasic waves with or without leakage while terminal DO is defined by a single non-inhibited contraction resulting in incontinence. Parameters analysed for both patterns of DO (among other parameters) included: volume and amplitude of the first non-inhibited detrusor contraction (NIDC#1), and for phasic DO: duration of pressure rise during NIDC#1 and number of NIDC.

Results: Phasic DO was observed in younger patients in the whole population whatever the gender (women: 55.9 years vs. 64.7 years, p = 0.0052; men: 57.4 years vs. 67.8 years, p = 0.0038). Volume at NIDC#1 was greater for neurological PDO (significant in women: 185 vs. 125 mL, p = 0.0223). Other parameters were not significantly different whatever the gender. Amplitude of NIDC#1 during PDO was significantly lower than that of NIDC during terminal DO (TDO) in both genders whatever the neurological condition (p < 0.0001). Volume at NIDC#1 in both patterns was dependent on the level of neurological lesion. Conclusion: The main difference between the patterns of DO is that PDO occurs in younger individuals. There is no significant difference between urodynamic characteristics of each pattern whatever gender or neurological status. Further studies will provide additional information on the impact of the level of neurological lesion on the pattern of DO.

INTRODUCTION

Detrusor overactivity (DO) is a frequent urodynamic diagnosis in patients with urge syndrome and is defined by non-inhibited detrusor contractions (NIDC) during the filling phase. According to the International Continence Society (ICS) standardisation (1), two patterns of DO are described. Phasic DO (PDO) is characterized by phasic waves with or without leakage, while terminal DO (TDO) is defined by a single NIDC which cannot be suppressed and often results in incontinence (usually bladder emptying). In addition, DO is also qualified according to cause as idiopathic (IDO, no defined cause) or neurogenic (NDO, relevant neurological condition).
Some attempts have been made, in women, to evaluate the differences in urodynamic characteristics between IDO and NDO secondary to multiple sclerosis (2) and diabetes (3). The pattern of DO has rarely been taken into account but it has been reported that TDO was more frequent in old women with or without history of neurological disease (4). In men, occurrence of TDO was reported in elderly (5), in patients with Parkinson’s disease (6), and the different patterns of DO described in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (7,8). DO is frequently observed in patients with history of neurological disease (9).

The aim of the present study was to determine in there are differences in urodynamic characteristics between idiopathic and neurogenic DO patterns according to gender. In case of neurological condition, if there are differences according to the level of neurological impairment (central, spinal or peripheral).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Urodynamic studies of patients referred to our laboratory for evaluation of lower urinary tract dysfunction over the period January 2007 to December 2009 were retrospectively analyzed. Only patients with DO during urodynamics were included. DO was defined as an involuntary rise of detrusor pressure (p_{det}) greater than 5 cm H2O during filling. No provocative maneuvers were conducted to elicit DO.

Exclusion criteria included pelvic organ prolapse of grade 2 or greater, complete spinal cord injury, diabetes mellitus, and anticholinergic treatment.

A total of 203 patients (127 women and 76 men, respectively 48 and 43 with neurological disease (encephalic, incomplete medullar or peripheral lesion)) fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

All patients had evaluation including medical history and usual medication, bladder diary for at least 48 hours including voiding times and voided volumes during day- and night-time, physical examination and dipstick urinalysis.

Cystometry was performed with the patient in the seated position with a 7F triple-lumen urethral catheter perfused with saline at room temperature, using a filling rate of 50 mL/min. Pressure transducers were zeroed to atmospheric pressure at the upper edge of the symphysis pubis. Rectal pressure was recorded using a punctured intra rectal balloon catheter filled with 2 mL of saline according to the report of Good Urodynamic Practice Guidelines (10).

Recordings were reviewed independently by two investigators. In case of discrepancy (about 10% of the files) an additional interpretation was made jointly to reach a single conclusion.

For both patterns of DO, the volume and the amplitude of the first NIDC were measured. For PDO, the duration of detrusor pressure rise during the first NIDC (NIDC#1), the number of NIDC and the occurrence of NIDC at cystometric capacity were also measured. The characteristics of the first NIDC were analysed because, sometimes, only one NIDC was observed during PDO.

For both patterns, other recorded urodynamic parameters included cystometric capacity, maximum flow rate (Q_{max}), detrusor pressure at maximum flow (p_{det}.Q_{max}), voided volume (V_v) and post void residual volume (PVR).

When looking at the neurological status, female and male population were non homogeneous; thus each gender was separately analyzed.

This study was conducted in accordance to the Declaration of Helsinki. According to the local practice of our Ethics Committee, there is no formal Institutional Review Board approval required for retrospective studies.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SD and range. t test, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the chi-square test were used as appropriate. All statistical results were considered significant at p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS, version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Detrusor overactivity pattern vs. neurological status

The localisation of the neurological lesion is resumed in Table-1. In NDO patients, NIDC#1 during PDO occurred at lower bladder volume than
NIDC of TDO in patients with encephalic lesion while NIDC during TDO occurred at lower bladder volume than NIDC#1 of PDO in patients with medullar lesion (Table-2).

There was no significant difference in the occurrence of a pattern of DO with the neurologic status whatever the gender.

Female population

Results are summarized in Table-3. There was no significant difference in the clinical baseline of the female population; the chief complaint was urgency (urge or mixed incontinence). Other voiding complaints were dysuria, frequency and incomplete emptying.

PDO patients were significantly younger in the whole population: 55.9 ± 19.5 vs. 64.7 ± 15.1 years (p = 0.0052) and in the NDO subgroup: 49.7 ± 19.7 vs. 59.2 ± 18.2 years (p = 0.0407). NDO subgroups were significantly younger according to each pattern of DO: 49.7 ± 19.7 vs. 59.8 ± 18.5 years (p = 0.0468) for PDO sub-group and 59.2 ± 18.2 vs. 68.0 ± 11.9 years (p = 0.0223) for TDO sub-group.

Phasic detrusor overactivity (PDO, 65 women)

The volume of occurrence of the first contraction (NIDC#1) was higher in the NDO group (185 ± 116 mL vs. 125 ± 89 mL, p = 0.0223) while the increasing time of pdet (7.5 ± 2.7s vs. 7.8 ±

<p>| Table 1 - Localisation of the neurological lesion in each gender vs. pattern of detrusor overactivity (PDO: phasic detrusor overactivity, TDO: terminal detrusor overactivity). |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localisation</th>
<th>Encephalic</th>
<th>Medullar</th>
<th>Peripheral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (No 48) PDO (No 25)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td>16 (64%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDO (No 23)</td>
<td>14 (61%)</td>
<td>9 (39%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (No 43) PDO (No 16)</td>
<td>10 (62.5%)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDO (No 27)</td>
<td>15 (55.5%)</td>
<td>11 (40.7%)</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 2 - Volume at the first non-inhibited detrusor contraction (NIDC#1) for patients with neurological detrusor overactivity (NDO) vs. level of neurological lesion (PDO: phasic detrusor overactivity, TDO: terminal detrusor overactivity). |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion Level</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No PDO</th>
<th>V NIDC#1 mL</th>
<th>No TDO</th>
<th>V NIDC mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encephalic</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>117 ± 97</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>176 ± 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>164 ± 129</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>328 ± 167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medullar</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>212 ± 113</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>116 ± 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>264 ± 182</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>217 ± 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>161 ± 108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>282 ± 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4s) and the amplitude of NIDC#1 (15.5 ± 11.9 cm H₂O vs. 11.5 ± 9.6 cm H₂O) did not differ between NDO and IDO sub-groups.

Between NDO and IDO sub-groups, there was no difference in the number of NIDC (3.1 ± 1.5 vs. 3.3 ± 1.9) nor in the amplitude of the last NIDC (25.1 ± 22.1 cm H₂O vs. 29.4 ± 21.3 cm H₂O).

There was a significant increase of amplitude between the first and the last NIDC whatever the neurologic status (NDO: 15.5 ± 11.9 cm H₂O vs. 25.1 ± 22.1 cm H₂O p = 0.0095; IDO: 13.1 ± 10.7 cm H₂O vs. 27.8 ± 21.5 cm H₂O p < 0.0001).

Looking at the occurrence of a NIDC at cystometric capacity, there was only a sig-
Table 4 - Demographic and urodynamic characteristics of the male population (PDO: phasic detrusor overactivity, TDO: terminal detrusor overactivity, NDO: neurogenic detrusor overactivity, IDO: idiopathic detrusor overactivity, NIDC: non-inhibited detrusor contraction, PVR: post void residual volume, Qmax: maximum flow rate, pdet.Qmax: detrusor pressure at maximum flow rate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PDO (No 31)</th>
<th>TDO (No 45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDO (No 16)</td>
<td>IDO (No 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>53.0 ± 22.1</td>
<td>62.1 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major complaint**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PDO (No 31)</th>
<th>TDO (No 45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urge inc.</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed inc.</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress inc.</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NIDC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PDO (No 31)</th>
<th>TDO (No 45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V(NIDC #1) (mL)</td>
<td>201 ± 153</td>
<td>168 ± 107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude NIDC #1 (cm H₂O)</td>
<td>22.1 ± 18.8</td>
<td>14.7 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising time NIDC #1 (s)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No NIDC</td>
<td>4.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude last NIDC (cm H₂O)</td>
<td>43.1 ± 27.0</td>
<td>46.0 ± 40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystometric capacity mL</td>
<td>344 ± 167</td>
<td>295 ± 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR (mL)</td>
<td>104 ± 113</td>
<td>102 ± 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax (mL/s)</td>
<td>8 ± 6</td>
<td>10 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdet.Qmax (cm H₂O)</td>
<td>56.0 ± 40.6</td>
<td>51.2 ± 28.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s. = not significant

**Terminal detrusor overactivity (TDO, 62 women)**

The volume at onset of NIDC was significantly greater in IDO patients (274 ± 126 mL vs. 154 ± 90 mL, p < 0.0001).

During TDO, amplitude of NIDC was significantly greater than that of NIDC#1 during PDO whatever the neurological condition: for NDO 48.7 ± 36.2 cm H₂O vs. 15.5 ± 11.9 cm H₂O (p < 0.0001) and for IDO 41.5 ± 22.0 cm H₂O vs. 11.5 ± 9.6 cm H₂O (p < 0.0001).

**Male population**

Results are summarized in Table-4.
There was no significant difference in the clinical baseline of the male population; the chief complaint was urgency (urge or mixed incontinence). The chief complaint was urgency (urge and mixed incontinence). Patients with history of neurologic disease had less incontinence complaint in the PDO group.

Pressure flow study was obtained in 63 (83%) men. Looking at a possible bladder outlet obstruction (according to A-G number criterion), 30 men were found obstructed from which 9 had PDO and 21 TDO. In the non-obstructed (18 men) and equivocal (15 men) groups the difference in terms of DO was respectively 9 PDO/9 TDO and 6 PDO/9 TDO.

PDO patients were significantly younger in the whole population 57.4 ± 18.2 years vs. 67.8 ± 12.3 years (p = 0.0038) and in the NDO sub-group 53.0 ± 22.1 years vs. 66.4 ± 12.5 years (p = 0.0149). In each pattern, NDO patients were younger but not significantly.

Phasic detrusor overactivity (PDO, 31 men)

In PDO patients, there was no significant difference in the volume at NIDC#1 (201 ± 153 mL vs. 168 ± 107 mL), the increasing time of p\textsubscript{det} (10.1 ± 6.4s vs. 7.3 ± 2.7s), the amplitude of NIDC #1 (22.1 ± 18.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 14.7 ± 13.0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O), the number of NIDC (4.2 ± 4.0 vs. 3.3 ± 1.8) and the amplitude of the last NIDC between NDO and IDO sub-groups.

There was a significant difference between amplitude of the first and the last NIDC whatever the neurologic status (NDO: 22.1 ± 18.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 43.1 ± 27.0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O p = 0.0250; IDO: 14.7 ± 13.0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs.46.0 ± 40.9 cm H\textsubscript{2}O p = 0.0211). Looking at the occurrence of a NIDC at cystometric capacity, there was no significant difference according to neurologic condition or with age.

Terminal detrusor overactivity (45 men)

The volume at onset of NIDC was not significantly different between IDO and NDO patients (211 ± 84 mL vs. 278 ± 162 mL).

The amplitude of NIDC during TDO was significantly greater than that of NIDC#1 during PDO whatever the neurological condition: for NDO 57.3 ± 30.5 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 22.1 ± 18.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (p = 0.0002) and for IDO 65.8 ± 39.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 14.7 ± 13.0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (p < 0.0001).

During PDO, amplitude of NIDC#1 was significantly lower than amplitude of NIDC during TDO whatever the neurological condition: for NDO 22.1 ± 18.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 57.3 ± 30.5 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (p = 0.0002) and for IDO 14.7 ± 13.0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O vs. 65.8 ± 39.8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (p < 0.0001).

**DISCUSSION**

Two great theories, neurogenic and myogenic, are proposed to explain detrusor overactivity. It is accepted that multiple events in the urothelium, sub-urothelium and possibly in the detrusor muscle are implied (11). If these theories tried to explain the triggering mechanism, no distinction was made between the patterns of DO defined by the ICS (1). Hypothesis is that the mechanism underlying PDO and TDO could be not the same and could involve differences in urodynamic parameters of detrusor function. Some studies tried to determine whether urodynamic parameters differ between patients, with or without neurologic condition, who have detrusor overactivity (2,3,6). These studies analyzed mainly data from women (2,3) and did not make any difference between the two patterns (phasic and terminal) of DO.

In the present study, we add two new contributions since we compare urodynamic data of DO patients of both genders according to the two patterns of DO.

An important result is that there are some similarities between the expression of PDO in both genders whatever the neurological condition. There is no significant difference between the characteristics of the NIDC#1 (amplitude, rising time) between the number of NIDC and between the amplitude of the last NIDC. In both genders, the amplitude of the last NIDC is significantly greater than that of NIDC#1 which is consistent with the absence of detrusor fatigability during filling. Our finding about the amplitude of NIDC#1 (no difference between NDO and IDO) is opposite to the findings of Lemack (2) and Golabeck (3) and can be explained since they don’t make distinction between PDO and TDO.
It has been reported that “phasic detrusor overactivity tends to be characterized by contractions of increasing amplitude as the bladder volume increases” (12). That behaviour is more frequently observed when the number of NIDC is small. Increased amplitude of NIDC with bladder filling can be related to an increasing difficulty to abort detrusor contraction with increased bladder volume. Using a mathematical model of micturition, it has been proposed (13) that in IDO, the nervous control of PDO implies in an inhibitory reflex which stops the contraction after a 5s delay while that reflex is inadequate in TDO. It has been also proposed (13) that during TDO, the inadequate inhibitory reflex allows the increase of detrusor pressure. A remaining question, needing further studies, is: is that reflex more intricate and dependent on the bladder volume?

Influence of age is observed in both genders, and PDO occurs in younger individuals. That result is consistent with previous results (4) but in our study, women with NDO (P or T) are younger than women with IDO. Men with NDO are also younger but not significantly. Occurrence of an NIDC at cystometric capacity does not depend on the neurological status but is more frequent in older.

In a male population with symptomatic benign prostatic enlargement of which 86% were categorized as obstructed (following BOOI i.e. A-G number), TDO was found predominantly in 55% (8). That result correlates bladder outlet obstruction and TDO which is similar to our finding.

Interestingly, comparing patients with encephalic or medullar lesion, we find that in the NPDO population, NIDC#1 occurs at a smaller volume than NIDC of TDO in patients with encephalic lesion whatever the gender. When looking at the volume at onset of NIDC#1, patients with encephalic lesion have the same behaviour than patients with IDO. That result is consistent with the commonest cystometric finding in stroke: DO with normally coordinated voiding (11).

On the opposite, the NIDC of TDO occurs at a lower bladder volume than NIDC#1 during PDO in case of medullar lesion. These findings must be compared with those of Lemack et al. (2) and those of Golabek et al. (3) as they both observe DO later in their NDO group with respectively multiple sclerosis and diabetes mellitus but they don’t distinguish between phasic and terminal DO. Lower urinary tract dysfunction secondary to multiple sclerosis is mainly the result of spinal cord disease (14) while both central and peripheral mechanisms are implicated in diabetic patients (15). An unexpected result is that PDO is not prevalent in the medullar population (incomplete lesion) while it is known that a dyssynergic behaviour is observed in patients with complete lesion (16). In complete spinal cord injury, the most common idea is that PDO is predominant. In fact, clinicians have observed that occurrence of PDO decreased with clean intermittent catheterization.

Although the NDO sub-groups are not of sufficient size to conclude, our results provide a first insight of a possible different expression of DO according to the level of neurological lesion. Further studies are needed to obtain additional information about the pattern of DO.

The limitation of our study is that it is retrospective which induces a bias due to the recruitment of our urodynamic laboratory, but it is to our knowledge the first study that tries to find differences in the urodynamic expression of the two patterns of DO.

CONCLUSIONS

The main difference between the patterns of DO is that PDO occurs in younger individuals. There is no significant difference between urodynamic characteristics of each pattern whatever gender, complaint and neurological status. If there is a tendency for a first NIDC of higher amplitude in NDO patients (except NTDO men), that finding does not allow conclude for an increased outlet resistance. Further studies will provide additional information on the impact of the level of neurologic lesion on the pattern of DO.

ABBREVIATIONS

DO = Detrusor overactivity
IDO = Idiopathic detrusor overactivity
ICS = International Continence Society
NDO = Neurologic detrusor overactivity
NIDC, NIDC#1 = Non-inhibited detrusor contraction, first NIDC
NPDO, NTDO = Neurologic phasic detrusor overactivity, neurologic terminal detrusor overactivity
P_{det} = Detrusor pressure
PDO = Phasic detrusor overactivity
PVR = Post void residual volume
Q_{max} = Maximum flow rate
TDO = Terminal detrusor overactivity
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